

In order to be accepted into the publication process, all HDAs must meet minimum standards.

Unfortunately, this manuscript did not pass the initial review.

This is part of FPIN's Reject & Resubmit Once policy. Authors will only have one opportunity to submit their revisions for these specific issues to the editorial office for a second review. Authors will have three (3) weeks to revise their manuscript. If you need additional time, please see FPIN's <u>Due Date Extension Policy</u>.

EMS Nun Question:	ber:	
Your Scores	Min. Score for Rejection	
Format:	1 or more	
Evidence Summary Total: Paragraph 1: Paragraph 2: Paragraph 3: Paragraph 4: Paragraph 5:	5 or more	
Table:	2 or more	

Managing Editor Editorial Review – Checklist

Red superscript numbers refer to page numbers in the <u>HDA Author Guidebook</u> providing further information

Section 1: Format Manuscript will be rejected for any one infraction on this page					
•	Manuscript does NOT meet technical standards: Author names in manuscript do not match names in EMS (Note: The Corresponding Author in the EMS is required to contribute to the writing of the manuscript. Local Editors who want to evaluate their program's manuscripts within the EMS can apply for editing privileges in Feb 2019) Question in manuscript does not match the initial invitation or is absent from the document Manuscript not submitted as Word document page 3				
	Reference section: Has only 1 reference or more than 5 references page 5 References not numbered in order of appearance page 10 Has no STEP level indicators page 10 Included as 'End Notes' page 10				
	Evidence Summary: More than one reference summarized in each paragraph (written in narrative review format) Citation numbers not listed page 5 Citation numbers not listed page 5				
•	Evidence Based Answer: No EBA EBA does not directly answer the question page 4 No SOR indicators page 4 Brief explanatory phrases missing from SOR indicators page 4				
	COMMENTS:				

Section 2: Evidence Summary pages 5-6 | FPIN Institute Module

Manuscript will be rejected for any five (5) infractions in this section

	aragraph 1
Sc	ore:
	Paragraph summarizing a single study lacks:
	research question/topic of the study
	study design (RCT, cohort, etc.)
	number of patients
	patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
	etc.)
	description of intervention and comparator (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration,
	etc.)
	Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.)
	If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	description of what is measured
	range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
•	Paragraph summarizing a systematic review or meta-analysis lacks:
	research question/topic of the study
	design of included studies (RCT, cohort, etc.)
	total number of included studies
	total number of included patients
	patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
	etc.)
	description of interventions and comparators (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration,
	etc.)
	Numerical results with (95% CI, P value, etc.)
	If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	description of what is measured
	range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
•	Paragraph summarizing a diagnostic study (or meta-analysis of the same) lacks:
	description of testing protocol
	description of reference standard
	prevalence of the disease/condition
	numerical results (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, etc.)
	Paragraph summarizing a practice guideline lacks:
	developing organization
	development process (consensus or evidence-based)
	SOR or level/grade of evidence indicators
	COMMENTS:

Paragraph 2

ore:	
Paragrap	ph summarizing a single study lacks:
re	esearch question/topic of the study
st	tudy design (RCT, cohort, etc.)
n	umber of patients
	atient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
etc.)	
	escription of intervention and comparator (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration,
etc.)	
	lumerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.)
	mes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	escription of what is measured
ra	ange of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
Paragra	ph summarizing a systematic review or meta-analysis lacks:
re	esearch question/topic of the study
d	esign of included studies (RCT, cohort, etc.)
	otal number of included studies
	otal number of included patients
	atient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
etc.)	
•	escription of interventions and comparators (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration
etc.)	p,,,,,,,,
•	lumerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.)
	mes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	escription of what is measured
	ange of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
	ange of possible scores and maleation of which end of range equals improvement
Paragrai	ph summarizing a diagnostic study (or meta-analysis of the same) lacks:
	escription of testing protocol
	escription of reference standard
	revalence of the disease/condition
	umerical results (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, etc.)
	ph summarizing a practice guideline lacks:
	eveloping organization
	evelopment process (consensus or evidence-based)
So	OR or level/grade of evidence indicators
COMMEN	NTS:

Paragraph 3, if applicable

Score: _____ Paragraph summarizing a single study lacks: _____ research question/topic of the study _____ study design (RCT, cohort, etc.) ____ number of patients patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity, etc.) description of intervention and comparator (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration, etc.) Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.) If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks: description of what is measured _____ range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement Paragraph summarizing a systematic review or meta-analysis lacks: _____ research question/topic of the study _____ design of included studies (RCT, cohort, etc.) _____ total number of included studies total number of included patients patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity, etc.) description of interventions and comparators (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration, etc.) Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.) If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks: description of what is measured range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement Paragraph summarizing a diagnostic study (or meta-analysis of the same) lacks: ____ description of testing protocol _____ description of reference standard ____ prevalence of the disease/condition _____ numerical results (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, etc.) Paragraph summarizing a practice guideline lacks: _____ developing organization _____ development process (consensus or evidence-based) SOR or level/grade of evidence indicators **COMMENTS:**

Paragraph 4, if applicable

_	
_	raph summarizing a single study lacks:
	research question/topic of the study
	study design (RCT, cohort, etc.)
	number of patients
	patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
etc.)	
	description of intervention and comparator (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration,
etc.)	
	Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.)
	comes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	description of what is measured
	range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
Parag	raph summarizing a <mark>systematic review</mark> or meta-analysis lacks:
	research question/topic of the study
	design of included studies (RCT, cohort, etc.)
	total number of included studies
	total number of included patients
	patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity,
etc.)	
	description of interventions and comparators (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duratio
etc.)	
	Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.)
fout	comes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks:
	description of what is measured
	range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement
Parag	raph summarizing a diagnostic study (or meta-analysis of the same) lacks:
arag	description of testing protocol
	description of reference standard
	prevalence of the disease/condition
	numerical results (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, etc.)
_	raph summarizing a practice guideline lacks:
	_developing organization
	_development process (consensus or evidence-based)
	SOR or level/grade of evidence indicators
CON	IMENTS:
COIV	IIVILIVIS.

Paragraph 5, if applicable Score: Paragraph summarizing a single study lacks: _____ research question/topic of the study _____ study design (RCT, cohort, etc.) number of patients _ patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity, etc.) description of intervention and comparator (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration, etc.) Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.) If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks: _____ description of what is measured range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement Paragraph summarizing a systematic review or meta-analysis lacks: _____ research question/topic of the study _____ design of included studies (RCT, cohort, etc.) total number of included studies total number of included patients patient demographics and/or description of included patients (diagnostic criteria, disease severity, etc.) description of interventions and comparators (treatment protocol, dose, route, frequency, duration, etc.) Numerical results (95% CI, P value, etc.) If outcomes are measured via outcome scales or scoring systems, the manuscript lacks: description of what is measured _____ range of possible scores and indication of which end of range equals improvement Paragraph summarizing a diagnostic study (or meta-analysis of the same) lacks: _____ description of testing protocol _____ description of reference standard _____ prevalence of the disease/condition numerical results (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, etc.) Paragraph summarizing a practice guideline lacks: ____ developing organization development process (consensus or evidence-based) SOR or level/grade of evidence indicators **COMMENTS:**

Section 3: Tables page 10

Manuscript will be rejected for two (2) or more infractions in this section

Score:					
 Any tables lack: 10 stand-alone title reference citation numbers minimal number clutter in cells (only 1 or 2 numbers in each cell) 					
COMMENTS:					